

INTERSECTION PAIRING FOR ARITHMETIC CYCLES WITH DEGENERATE GREEN CURRENTS

ATSUSHI MORIWAKI

INTRODUCTION

As we indicated in our paper [10], the standard arithmetic Chow groups introduced by Gillet-Soulé [4] are rather restricted to consider arithmetic analogues of geometric problems. In this note, we would like to propose a suitable extension of the arithmetic Chow group of codimension one, in which the Hodge index theorem still holds as in papers [2], [8] and [15].

Let $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ be a regular arithmetic variety with $d = \dim X_{\mathbb{Q}}$. As we defined in [10], $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^p(X)$ is a group, consisting of pairs (Z, g) with cycles Z of codimension p on X and currents g of type $(p-1, p-1)$ on $X(\mathbb{C})$, modulo arithmetical rational equivalence. It seems to be impossible to give a natural ring structure on the graded module $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. In [10, §2.3], we showed that $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has, however, a natural scalar product of the arithmetic Chow ring $\widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, namely, a module structure of $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ over $\widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as a generalization of [4, Theorem 4.2.3]. In this note, we will introduce suitable subgroups $\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^p(X)$ of $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^p(X)$ and $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{B'}^q(X)$ of $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^q(X)$ such that $\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^p(X)$ and $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{B'}^q(X)$ have a natural pairing

$$\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^p(X) \otimes \widehat{\text{CH}}_{B'}^q(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^{p+q}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

In the following, we would like to explain how to construct $\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X)$, for example.

We denote by $C^\infty(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})$ (resp. $L_{1,loc}^2(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})$) the set of all real valued C^∞ -functions (resp. locally square integrable functions with all weak partial derivatives in L_{loc}^2) on $X(\mathbb{C})$. A key point to get $\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X)$ is to fix an abelian group B with $C^\infty(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}) \subseteq B \subseteq L_{1,loc}^2(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})$. This abelian group B is called a class of degeneration of Green functions. Fixing the class B of degeneration, an arithmetic B -divisor on X is defined to be a pair (D, g) such that D is a divisor on X , and that there are a Green function f for $D(\mathbb{C})$ and $\phi \in B$ with $g = f + \phi$. We denote by $\widehat{Z}_B^1(X)$ the set of all arithmetic B -divisors on X , and define $\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X)$ to be $\widehat{Z}_B^1(X)$ modulo arithmetic linear equivalence. Using the Dirichlet form of $L_{1,loc}^2(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})$ together with the usual star product, if $(D_1, g_1), (D_2, g_2) \in \widehat{Z}_B^1(X)$, and D_1 and D_2 have no common component, then we can define the star product $g_1 * g_2$ as well as the usual one (cf. §2.1). In this way, we have a homomorphism

$$\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X) \otimes \widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^2(X).$$

as desired.

Assuming X is projective over $\mathbb{Z}$, let (H, k) be an arithmetically ample Hermitian line bundle on X , namely, (1) H is ample, (2) the Chern form $c_1(H, k)$ is positive definite on the infinite fiber $X(\mathbb{C})$, and (3) there is a positive integer m_0 such that, for any integer $m \geq m_0$, $H^0(X, H^m)$ is generated by the set $\{s \in H^0(X, H^m) \mid \|s\|_{\sup} < 1\}$. Then, the following is one of main results of this note, which is a generalization of [2], [8] and [15].

Theorem A (cf. Corollary 4.3). *Let us consider a homomorphism*

$$L : \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_D^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_D^{p+1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

given by $L(x) = \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H}) \cdot x$. Then, we have the following.

- (1) $L^{d-1} : \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_D^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_D^d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *is injective.*
- (2) *If $x \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_B^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $x \neq 0$, and $L^d(x) = 0$, then $\widehat{\deg}(L^{d-1}(x) \cdot x) < 0$.*

On an arithmetic surface, Bost [1] also constructed the same intersection pairing independently for an arithmetic analogue of the Lefschetz theorem. Our motivation is, however, different. In this note, we introduce the special class **Br**, which is called the birational class of degeneration, arising from birational geometry. Namely, a locally integrable function ϕ on $X(\mathbb{C})$ belongs to the class **Br** if and only if there are a proper birational morphism $\mu : Y \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})$ of smooth algebraic schemes over $\mathbb{C}$, a divisor D' on Y , and a Green function g for D' such that $\mu_*(D') = 0$ and $\mu_*(g) = \phi$ (a. e.). In §1, we will check that the birational class **Br** is a class of degeneration (cf. Proposition 1.2). Note that if $\dim X = 2$, then **Br** is nothing more than $C^\infty(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})$.

The reason why we need to introduce the birational class comes from the following observation. Let (E, h) be a Hermitian vector bundle of rank 2 on X , and L a rank 1 saturated subsheaf of E . Then, $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not necessarily line subbundle of $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ if $\dim X_{\mathbb{Q}} \geq 2$, so that the metric h_L of L induced by h is not necessarily C^∞ over $X(\mathbb{C})$. We can however see that $\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L)$ gives rise to an element of $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbf{Br}}^1(X)$. Thus, we may consider

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) \cdot (\widehat{c}_1(E, h) - \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L))).$$

We would like to compare the above with $\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(E, h))$. If we take the geometric case into account, one can guess

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(E, h)) \geq \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) \cdot (\widehat{c}_1(E, h) - \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L))).$$

Actually, we will prove the above inequality in §5. Using this together with the result of [14], we have the following Bogomolov's instability theorem for rank 2 vector bundles in the arithmetic case.

Theorem B (cf. Theorem 6.2). *If $\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot (4\widehat{c}_2(E, h) - \widehat{c}_1(E, h)^2)) < 0$, then there is a rank 1 saturated subsheaf L of E such that $L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \det(E)^{-1}$ has positive degree on each connected component of $X(\mathbb{C})$ with respect to $H_{\mathbb{C}}$, and that*

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot (2\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) - \widehat{c}_1(E, h))^2) > 0.$$

Finally, we would like to thank Prof. Bost who kindly sent his paper [1] after writing the first version of this note. Inspired with his paper, we could simplify the description of this note.

1. CLASS OF DEGENERATION OF GREEN CURRENTS

Let X be a complex manifold. We denote by $C^\infty(X)$ (resp. $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$) the set of all complex (resp. real) valued C^∞ functions. For a non-negative integer k and a real number r with $1 \leq r < \infty$, we denote by $L_{k,loc}^r(X)$ (resp. $L_{k,loc}^r(X, \mathbb{R})$) the set of all complex (resp. real) valued functions on X which locally have all weak partial derivatives up to order k in L^r . Let α be a form of type (p, q) on X . We say α is a locally L_k^r -form if all coefficients of α in terms of local coordinates belong to $L_{k,loc}^r$. We denote the set of all (resp. real) locally L_k^r -forms of type (p, q) on X by $L_{k,loc}^r(\Omega_X^{p,q})$ (resp. $L_{k,loc}^r(\Omega_X^{p,q}, \mathbb{R})$). Let us begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. (i) *If $\phi \in L_{1,loc}^r(\Omega_X^{p,p})$ and $\psi \in C^\infty(\Omega_X^{q,q})$, then $[\phi \wedge \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi)] + [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] \in \text{Im}(\partial)$ and $[\phi \wedge \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi)] + [\partial(\psi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi)] \in \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$ as currents.*
(ii) *Let r and r' be real numbers with $1 \leq r, r' < \infty$ and $1/r + 1/r' = 1$. If $\phi \in L_{1,loc}^r(\Omega_X^{p,p})$ and $\psi \in L_{1,loc}^{r'}(\Omega_X^{q,q})$, then $[\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] = [\partial(\psi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi)]$ modulo $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$.*
(iii) *If X is an n -dimensional connected compact complex manifold with a fundamental form Φ , then*

$$\sqrt{-1} \int_X \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi) \wedge \Phi^{d-1} \geq 0$$

for all $\phi \in L_1^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if ϕ is a constant almost everywhere.

Proof. (i) First of all, $\phi \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi) \in L_{1,loc}^r(\Omega_X^{p+q,p+q+1})$. Thus,

$$\partial(\phi \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)) = \phi \wedge \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi) + \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi).$$

Hence, we get the first assertion. In the same way,

$$\bar{\partial}(\phi \wedge \partial(\psi)) = \phi \wedge \bar{\partial} \partial(\psi) + \bar{\partial}(\phi) \wedge \partial(\psi),$$

which shows us the second assertion.

(ii) It is sufficient to see that

$$\partial[\phi \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] + \bar{\partial}[\phi \wedge \partial(\psi)] = [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] - [\partial(\psi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi)]$$

as currents. This is a local question, so that we may assume that ϕ and ψ can be written in terms of a local coordinate and that all coefficients of ϕ and ψ belong to $L_1^r(X)$ and $L_1^{r'}(X)$ respectively. Since $C^\infty(X) \cap L_1^{r'}(X)$ is dense in $L_1^{r'}(X)$, there is a sequence $\{\psi_n\}$ in $C^\infty(\Omega_X^{q,q})$ such that all coefficients of ψ_n belong to $L_1^{r'}(X)$ and they converge to the coefficients of ψ in $L_1^{r'}(X)$. Then,

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\phi \partial(\psi_n)] = [\phi \partial(\psi)], \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\phi \bar{\partial}(\psi_n)] = [\phi \bar{\partial}(\psi)], \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi_n)] = [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)], \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\partial(\psi_n) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi)] = [\partial(\psi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi)] \end{cases}$$

as currents. Here note that if T is a current, $\{T_n\}$ is a sequence of currents, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n = T$ as currents, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \partial(T_n) = \partial(T)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\partial}(T_n) = \bar{\partial}(T)$ as currents. On the

other hand, by virtue of the proof of (i),

$$\partial(\phi \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi_n)) + \bar{\partial}(\phi \wedge \partial(\psi_n)) = (\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi_n)) - (\partial(\psi_n) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi))$$

for all n . Thus, we get (ii).

(iii) Let x be an arbitrary point of X , and $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ a local orthogonal frame of the holomorphic cotangent bundle Ω_X^1 around x with respect to Φ such that $\Phi = \sqrt{-1} \sum_i \theta_i \wedge \bar{\theta}_i$. If we set $\partial(\phi) = \sum_i a_i \theta_i$ around x , then $\bar{\partial}(\phi) = \sum_i \bar{a}_i \bar{\theta}_i$. Thus,

$$\sqrt{-1} \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi) \wedge \Phi^{n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \Phi^n$$

around x . This means that

$$\sqrt{-1} \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi) \wedge \Phi^{n-1}$$

is non-negative on X . Therefore, we get the first assertion.

Next we assume the equality. Then, by the proof of the inequality, we can see that $\partial(\phi) = \bar{\partial}(\phi) = 0$ (a. e.), i.e., $d(\phi) = 0$ (a. e.). Thus, ϕ is a constant almost everywhere. $\square$

An abelian group B is called a *class of degeneration of Green currents for codimension p cycles in L^r* (or simply a class of degeneration) if $C^\infty(\Omega_X^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq B \subseteq L_{1, \text{loc}}^r(\Omega_X^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$. For example, $C^\infty(\Omega_X^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$ and $L_{k, \text{loc}}^r(\Omega_X^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$ ($k \geq 1$) are classes of degeneration in L^r .

Let us consider a non-trivial example of class of degeneration of Green functions. Let $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper bimeromorphic morphism of complex manifolds, U the maximal open set of X with $\mu^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} U$, and ω a form on Y . We define the form $\mu_*(\omega)$ on X to be

$$\mu_*(\omega)(x) = \begin{cases} \omega(\mu^{-1}(x)) & \text{if } x \in U \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin U. \end{cases}$$

Note that if ω is locally integrable, then $\mu_*(\omega)$ is also locally integrable and $\mu_*([\omega]) = [\mu_*(\omega)]$ as currents. It is easy to see that $\mu_*(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) = \mu_*(\omega_1) \wedge \mu_*(\omega_2)$.

Let D be a divisor on X . A locally integrable function g on X is called a *Green function for D* if g is C^∞ over $X \setminus \text{Supp}(D)$ and $dd^c([g]) + \delta_D$ is represented by a C^∞ -form. It is easy to see that if g is a Green function for some divisor, then for any points $x \in X$, there are a meromorphic function f around x and a C^∞ -function ψ around x with $g = \log |f| + \psi$.

Here we consider the following space $\mathbf{Br}(X)$. A locally integrable function ϕ on X belongs to $\mathbf{Br}(X)$ if and only if there are a proper bimeromorphic morphism $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ of complex manifolds, a divisor D on Y , and a Green function g for D such that $\mu_*(D) = 0$ and $\phi = \mu_*(g)$ (a. e.).

Proposition 1.2. *The space $\mathbf{Br}(X)$ is a class of degeneration of Green functions in L^2 . Moreover, the following properties are satisfied.*

- (1) *For all $\phi \in \mathbf{Br}(X)$, the differentials $\partial\bar{\partial}([\phi])$ in the sense of currents are represented by locally integrable forms. (By abuse of notation, representatives of $\partial\bar{\partial}([\phi])$ are denoted by $\partial\bar{\partial}(\phi)$.)*

- (2) $\phi \wedge \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi)$ is locally integrable forms for any $\phi, \psi \in \mathbf{Br}(X)$, and, as currents, $[\phi \wedge \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi)] + [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] \in \text{Im}(\partial)$.

Proof. Obviously, $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathbf{Br}(X) \subseteq L_{loc}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ because a C^∞ -function is a Green function for the zero divisor, and a Green function is locally square integrable. First, let us check that $\mathbf{Br}(X)$ is an abelian group. Choose arbitrary elements $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathbf{Br}(X)$. Then, we can easily find a proper bimeromorphic morphism $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ of complex manifolds, divisors D_1, D_2 on Y , and Green functions g_1 for D_1 and g_2 for D_2 such that $\mu_*(D_1) = \mu_*(D_2) = 0$, $\phi_1 = \mu_*(g_1)$ (a. e.), and $\phi_2 = \mu_*(g_2)$ (a. e.). Thus, $g_1 - g_2$ is a Green function for $D_1 - D_2$, and $\mu_*(g_1 - g_2) = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ (a. e.). Hence, $\phi_1 - \phi_2 \in \mathbf{Br}(X)$. This shows us that $\mathbf{Br}(X)$ is an abelian group.

In order to check another properties, we need to prepare two lemmas.

Lemma 1.3. *Let X be an n -dimensional complex manifold, D a divisor on X , and g a Green function for D . Then,*

$$\int_X g d(\omega) = - \int_X d(g) \wedge \omega$$

for all $\omega \in C_c^\infty(X, \Omega^{2n-1})$, i.e., ω is a compactly supported $(2n-1)$ -form on X . In other words, $d([g]) = [d(g)]$. Note that $d(g)$ is a logarithmic form on X , so that $d(g)$ is locally integrable.

Proof. Let $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper bimeromorphic morphism such that $\mu^{-1}(\text{Supp}(D))$ is a normal crossing divisor. Then,

$$\int_X g d(\omega) = \int_Y \mu^*(g) d(\mu^*(\omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X d(g) \wedge \omega = \int_Y d(\mu^*(g)) \wedge \mu^*(\omega).$$

Thus, we may assume that $\text{Supp}(D)$ is a normal crossing divisor.

Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a locally finite open covering of X such that each U_α is isomorphic to a bounded open set of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\sum_{\alpha \in A} \phi_\alpha = 1$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$. If

$$\int_X g d(\phi_\alpha \omega) = - \int_X d(g) \wedge \phi_\alpha \omega$$

for all $\alpha \in A$, then

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_X d(g) \wedge \omega &= \sum_{\alpha \in A} - \int_X d(g) \wedge \phi_\alpha \omega \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in A} \int_X g d(\phi_\alpha \omega) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in A} \int_X g (d(\phi_\alpha) \omega + \phi_\alpha d(\omega)) \\ &= \int_X g \left(d \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} \phi_\alpha \right) \omega + \sum_{\alpha \in A} \phi_\alpha d(\omega) \right) \\ &= \int_X g d(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in order to complete our lemma, it is sufficient to show the following sublemma. $\square$

Sublemma 1.3.1. *Let $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be a coordinate of $\mathbb{C}^n$, and $a_1, \dots, a_n$ real numbers. Then, for any $\omega \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n, \Omega^{2n-1})$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \log(|z_1|^{a_1} \cdots |z_n|^{a_n}) d(\omega) = - \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} d(\log(|z_1|^{a_1} \cdots |z_n|^{a_n})) \wedge \omega.$$

Note that

$$d(\log(|z_1|^{a_1} \cdots |z_n|^{a_n})) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{2} \left(\frac{dz_i}{z_i} + \frac{d\bar{z}_i}{\bar{z}_i} \right)$$

and it is a L^1 -form.

Proof. Since $\log(|z_1|^{a_1} \cdots |z_n|^{a_n}) = a_1 \log |z_1| + \cdots + a_n \log |z_n|$, it is sufficient to see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \log |z_1| d(\omega) = - \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} d(\log |z_1|) \wedge \omega.$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, we set

$$U_\epsilon = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mid |z_1| \geq \epsilon\} \quad \text{and} \quad D_\epsilon = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mid |z_1| = \epsilon\}.$$

Then, since $d(\log |z_1| \omega) = d(\log |z_1|) \omega + \log |z_1| d(\omega)$ over U_ϵ , by Stokes' formula,

$$- \int_{D_\epsilon} \log |z_1| \omega = \int_{U_\epsilon} d(\log |z_1|) \wedge \omega + \int_{U_\epsilon} \log |z_1| d(\omega).$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{U_\epsilon} d(\log |z_1|) \wedge \omega = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} d(\log |z_1|) \wedge \omega \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{U_\epsilon} \log |z_1| d(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \log |z_1| d(\omega).$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{D_\epsilon} \log |z_1| \omega = 0.$$

Let us choose a sufficiently large number M such that $\text{supp}(\omega) \subset \Delta_M^n$, where $\Delta_M = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq M\}$. Then, if we set $S_\epsilon^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = \epsilon\}$, we have

$$\int_{D_\epsilon} \log |z_1| \omega = \int_{S_\epsilon^1 \times \Delta_M^{n-1}} \log |z_1| \omega.$$

Here we set

$$\begin{aligned} \omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \Big\{ & \alpha_i (dx_1 \wedge dy_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\widehat{dx_i} \wedge dy_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dx_n \wedge dy_n) \\ & + \beta_i (dx_1 \wedge dy_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dx_i \wedge \widehat{dy_i}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dx_n \wedge dy_n) \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $z_i = x_i + \sqrt{-1}y_i$. Then, since $dx_1 \wedge dy_1 = 0$ on S_ϵ^1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_\epsilon^1 \times \Delta_M^{n-1}} \log |z_1| \omega &= \log(\epsilon) \int_{S_\epsilon^1 \times \Delta_M^{n-1}} (\alpha_1 dy_1 + \beta_1 dx_1) \wedge (dx_2 \wedge dy_2) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dx_n \wedge dy_n) \\ &= \epsilon \log(\epsilon) \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\Delta_M^{n-1}} (\alpha_1^\epsilon \cos(\theta) - \beta_1^\epsilon \sin(\theta)) d\theta dx_2 dy_2 \cdots dx_n dy_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_1^\epsilon(\theta, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \alpha_1(\epsilon e^{i\theta}, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ and $\beta_1^\epsilon(\theta, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \beta_1(\epsilon e^{i\theta}, z_2, \dots, z_n)$. Thus, we get our lemma because

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon \log(\epsilon) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha_1^\epsilon \cos(\theta) - \beta_1^\epsilon \sin(\theta)| \leq \|\alpha_1\|_{\sup} + \|\beta_1\|_{\sup}.$$

□

Next, let us consider the following lemma.

Lemma 1.4. *Let X be an n -dimensional complex manifold, and*

$$Y = X_N \xrightarrow{\pi_{N-1}} X_{N-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{N-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_0} X_0 = X$$

a succession of blowing-ups along smooth and irreducible subvarieties of codimension at least 2, i.e., for each $0 \leq \alpha < N$, there is a smooth and irreducible subvariety C_α on X_α such that $\text{codim } C_\alpha \geq 2$ and $\pi_\alpha : X_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is the blowing-up along C_α . Let Σ be the exceptional set of $\pi = \pi_0 \cdots \pi_{N-1} : Y \rightarrow X$. Let D be a divisor on Y with $\text{Supp}(D) \subseteq \Sigma$, and g a Green function for D . If Σ is a normal crossing divisor, then $d(g) \wedge \pi^(\omega)$ is a C^∞ form for any $\omega \in C^\infty(X, \Omega^{n-1, n-1})$.*

Proof. Let y be an arbitrary point of Y , and $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ a local coordinate of Y at y such that $z_1(y) = \cdots = z_n(y) = 0$ and Σ is given by $\{z_1 \cdots z_a = 0\}$ around y . Then, g can be written by a form

$$g = e_1 \log |z_1|^2 + \cdots + e_a \log |z_a|^2 + (C^\infty \text{ function}).$$

Then,

$$d(g) = \sum_{i=1}^a e_i \left(\frac{dz_i}{z_i} + \frac{d\bar{z}_i}{\bar{z}_i} \right) + (C^\infty \text{ form}).$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{dz_i}{z_i} \wedge \pi^*(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\bar{z}_i}{\bar{z}_i} \wedge \pi^*(\omega)$$

are C^∞ forms around y for every $1 \leq i \leq a$.

We choose $0 \leq \alpha < N$ such that $\{z_i = 0\}$ is an irreducible component of $\mu_\alpha^{-1}(C_\alpha)$, where $\mu_\alpha = \pi_\alpha \cdots \pi_{N-1} : Y \rightarrow X_\alpha$. Moreover, we choose a local coordinate $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ of X_α at $\mu_\alpha(y)$ such that $w_1(\mu_\alpha(y)) = \cdots = w_n(\mu_\alpha(y)) = 0$ and C_α is given by an equation $w_1 = \cdots = w_b = 0$. Then, $b \geq 2$ because $\text{codim } C_\alpha \geq 2$. We set $\phi_i = \mu_\alpha^*(w_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. By our choice of x_i 's and w_j 's, the ideal generated by $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_b$ is contained in the ideal generated by z_i . Thus, there are holomorphic functions $f_1, \dots, f_b$ around y with $\phi_1 = z_1 f_1, \dots, \phi_b = z_b f_b$. Here we set

$$(\pi_0 \cdots \pi_{\alpha-1})^*(\omega) = \sum_{s,t} \omega_{st} \left(dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dw_s} \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_n \right) \wedge \left(d\bar{w}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\bar{w}_t} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{w}_n \right)$$

around $\mu_\alpha(y)$. Then,

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \sum_{s,t} \mu_\alpha^*(\omega_{st}) \left(d\phi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\phi_s} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi_n \right) \wedge \left(d\bar{\phi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\bar{\phi}_t} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{\phi}_n \right)$$

Since $b \geq 2$, for each s , there is s' with $1 \leq s' \leq b$ and $s' \neq s$. Then,

$$\frac{dz_i}{z_i} \wedge d\phi_{s'} = \frac{dz_i}{z_i} \wedge ((dz_i)f_{s'} + z_i df_{s'}) = dz_i \wedge df_{s'},$$

which shows us that

$$\frac{dz_i}{z_i} \wedge d\phi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\phi_s} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi_n$$

is a holomorphic form for all s . In the same way, we can see that

$$\frac{d\bar{z}_i}{\bar{z}_i} \wedge d\bar{\phi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\bar{\phi}_t} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{\phi}_n$$

is an anti-holomorphic form for each t . Thus, we get our lemma. $\square$

Let us go back to the proof of Proposition 1.2. Let us pick up arbitrary $\phi, \psi \in \mathbf{Br}(X)$. Choose a proper bimeromorphic morphism $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ of complex manifolds, divisors D and E on Y , and Green functions g for D and f for E such that $\mu_*(D) = \mu_*(E) = 0$, $\phi = \mu_*(g)$ (a. e.), and $\psi = \mu_*(f)$ (a. e.). Changing a model, if necessarily, we may assume that $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ can be obtained by a succession of blowing-ups along smooth and irreducible subvarieties of codimension at least 2, and that the exceptional set is a divisor with only normal crossings. Let ω be a C^∞ -form on Y with

$$dd^c([f]) + \delta_E = \frac{-1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \partial\bar{\partial}([f]) + \delta_E = [\omega].$$

Claim 1.5. $d([\phi]) = [\mu_*(d(g))]$ and $\partial\bar{\partial}([\psi]) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}[\mu_*(\omega)]$. In particular, $d([\phi])$ and $\partial\bar{\partial}([\psi])$ are represented by locally integrable forms.

By virtue of Lemma 1.3, $d([g]) = [d(g)]$. Thus,

$$d([\phi]) = d(\mu_*[g]) = \mu_*d([g]) = \mu_*[d(g)] = [\mu_*(d(g))].$$

Further, $\mu_*\partial\bar{\partial}([f]) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu_*[\omega]$ because $\mu_*(\delta_E) = 0$. Thus,

$$\partial\bar{\partial}([\psi]) = \partial\bar{\partial}(\mu_*[f]) = \mu_*\partial\bar{\partial}([f]) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu_*[\omega] = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}[\mu_*(\omega)].$$

Claim 1.6. $\phi\partial\bar{\partial}(\psi)$, $\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)$, and $\phi\bar{\partial}(\psi)$ are locally integrable. Note that the local integrability of $\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi)$ implies that $\phi \in L^2_{1,loc}(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Using the equation $\partial\bar{\partial}(\psi) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu_*(\omega)$, we have

$$\phi\partial\bar{\partial}(\psi) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu_*(g)\mu_*(\omega) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu_*(g\omega).$$

Here, since $g\omega$ is locally integrable, so is $\mu_*(g\omega)$. In order to see that $\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)$ is locally integrable, it is sufficient to see that $\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi) \wedge \lambda$ is integrable for all $\lambda \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_X^{n-1, n-1})$. Since

$$\int_X \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi) \wedge \lambda = \int_Y \partial(g) \wedge \bar{\partial}(f) \wedge \mu^*(\lambda)$$

and $\bar{\partial}(f) \wedge \mu^*(\lambda)$ is a C^∞ -form by Lemma 1.4, we can see that $\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi) \wedge \lambda$ is integrable. In the same way as above, using Lemma 1.4, we can check that $\phi \bar{\partial}(\psi)$ are locally integrable.

Claim 1.7. $[\phi \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi)] + [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] = \partial[\phi \bar{\partial}(\psi)]$.

The above equation means that

$$\int_X \phi \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi) \wedge \lambda + \int_X \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi) \wedge \lambda = \int_X \phi \bar{\partial}(\psi) \wedge \partial(\lambda)$$

for all $\lambda \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_X^{n-1, n-1})$. This is equivalent to say that

$$-2\pi\sqrt{-1} \int_Y g \omega \wedge \mu^*(\lambda) + \int_X \partial(g) \wedge \bar{\partial}(f) \wedge \mu^*(\lambda) = \int_X g \bar{\partial}(f) \wedge \partial(\mu^*(\lambda))$$

for all $\lambda \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_X^{n-1, n-1})$. We set $\eta = \bar{\partial}(f) \wedge \mu^*(\lambda)$. Then, η is C^∞ by Lemma 1.4 and

$$d(\eta) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1} \omega \wedge \mu^*(\lambda) - \bar{\partial}(f) \wedge \mu^*(\partial(\lambda)).$$

Thus, using Lemma 1.3,

$$\int_Y \partial(g) \wedge \eta = \int_Y d(g) \wedge \eta = - \int_Y g \wedge d(\eta) = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \int_Y g \omega \wedge \mu^*(\lambda) + \int_Y g \bar{\partial}(f) \wedge \mu^*(\partial(\lambda)).$$

Hence we get our claim.

Gathering Claim 1.5, Claim 1.6 and Claim 1.7, we can complete the proof of Proposition 1.2. $\square$

2. DEGENERATE GREEN CURRENTS

2.1. B -Green currents and their star product. Let X be a complex manifold, and B a class of degeneration of Green currents for codimension p cycles. Let Z be a cycle of codimension p on X . A current (resp. locally integrable form) g of type $(p-1, p-1)$ on X is called a B -Green current for Z (resp. B -Green form for Z) if there are a Green current (resp. Green form) f for Z and $\phi \in B$ with $g = f + [\phi]$ (resp. $g = f + \phi$ (a. e.)). We denote $dd^c(g) + \delta_Z$ by $\omega(g)$. For example, if $B = C^\infty(\Omega_X^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then a B -Green current is nothing more than an usual Green current.

We also fix a class B' of degeneration of Green currents for codimension q cycles. We assume that $B \subseteq L_{1,loc}^r(\Omega_X^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$, $B' \subseteq L_{1,loc}^{r'}(\Omega_X^{q-1, q-1}, \mathbb{R})$, and $1/r + 1/r' = 1$. Let Z_1 be a cycle of codimension p on X , and Z_2 a cycle of codimension q on X . Let g_1 be a B -Green current for Z_1 , and g_2 a B' -Green current for Z_2 . Let us choose a Green current f_1 for Z_1 , a Green current f_2 for Z_2 , $\phi_1 \in B$, and $\phi_2 \in B'$ such that $g_1 = f_1 + \phi_1$ and $g_2 = f_2 + \phi_2$. We suppose that Z_1 and Z_2 intersect properly. We would like to define the star product $g_1 * g_2$ of g_1 and g_2 to be

$$g_1 * g_2 = f_1 * f_2 + [\omega(f_1) \wedge \phi_2] + [\phi_1 \wedge \omega(f_2)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2)]$$

as an element of $D^{1,1}(X)/(\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}))$. The following proposition says us that the above product is well defined and it is commutative modulo $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$.

Proposition 2.1.1. (1) $g_1 * g_2$ modulo $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$ is well defined, namely, $f_1 * f_2 + [\omega(f_1) \wedge \phi_2] + [\phi_1 \wedge \omega(f_2)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2)]$ modulo $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$ does not depend on the choices of f_1, f_2, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 .
 (2) $g_1 * g_2 = g_2 * g_1$ modulo $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$.

Proof. (1) Let $g_1 = f'_1 + \phi'_1$ and $g_2 = f'_2 + \phi'_2$ be another expressions of g_1 and g_2 , where f'_1 is a Green current for Z_1 , f'_2 is a Green current for Z_2 , $\phi'_1 \in B$ and $\phi'_2 \in B'$. Then, there are smooth forms η_1 and η_2 , and currents S_1, T_1, S_2 and T_2 such that

$$f'_1 = f_1 + \eta_1 + \partial(S_1) + \bar{\partial}(T_1) \quad \text{and} \quad f'_2 = f_2 + \eta_2 + \partial(S_2) + \bar{\partial}(T_2).$$

Thus, we have

$$\phi'_1 = \phi_1 - \eta_1 - \partial(S_1) - \bar{\partial}(T_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi'_2 = \phi_2 - \eta_2 - \partial(S_2) - \bar{\partial}(T_2).$$

First of all, it is well known that

$$(2.1.1.1) \quad f'_1 * f'_2 = (f_1 + \eta_1) * (f_2 + \eta_2) \quad \text{modulo } \text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}).$$

Moreover, since $\omega(f'_1) = \omega(f_1 + \eta_1)$ and $\omega(f'_1)$ is ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, we can see that

$$\omega(f'_1) \wedge \phi'_2 = \omega(f_1 + \eta_1) \wedge (\phi_2 - \eta_2) - \partial(\omega(f_1 + \eta_1) \wedge S_2) - \bar{\partial}(\omega(f_1 + \eta_1) \wedge T_2),$$

which shows us that

$$(2.1.1.2) \quad \omega(f'_1) \wedge \phi'_2 = \omega(f_1 + \eta_1) \wedge (\phi_2 - \eta_2) \quad \text{modulo } \text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}).$$

In the same way,

$$(2.1.1.3) \quad \phi'_1 \wedge \omega(f'_2) = (\phi_1 - \eta_1) \wedge \omega(f_2 + \eta_2) \quad \text{modulo } \text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}).$$

Further, since

$$\partial(\phi'_1) = \partial(\phi_1 - \eta_1) - \partial\bar{\partial}(T_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}(\phi'_2) = \bar{\partial}(\phi_2 - \eta_2) - \bar{\partial}\partial(S_2),$$

$\partial\bar{\partial}(T_1)$ (resp. $\bar{\partial}\partial(S_2)$) is a ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ -closed locally L_0^r -form (resp. $L_0^{r'}$ -form). Thus, we can see that

$$\partial(\phi'_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi'_2) = \partial(\phi_1 - \eta_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2 - \eta_2) + \bar{\partial}(\partial\bar{\partial}(T_1) \wedge (\phi_2 - \eta_2)) + \partial(\phi'_1 \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}(S_2)),$$

which says us that

$$(2.1.1.4) \quad \partial(\phi'_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi'_2) = \partial(\phi_1 - \eta_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2 - \eta_2) \quad \text{modulo } \text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}).$$

Thus, gathering (2.1.1.1), (2.1.1.2), (2.1.1.3), and (2.1.1.4), we obtain that

$$f'_1 * f'_2 + [\omega(f'_1) \wedge \phi'_2] + [\phi'_1 \wedge \omega(f'_2)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi'_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi'_2)]$$

is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta = & (f_1 + \eta_1) * (f_2 + \eta_2) + [\omega(f_1 + \eta_1) \wedge (\phi_2 - \eta_2)] + \\ & [(\phi_1 - \eta_1) \wedge \omega(f_2 + \eta_2)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi_1 - \eta_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2 - \eta_2)] \end{aligned}$$

modulo $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$. Moreover, by easy calculations, we can see that

$$\Delta - \left(f_1 * f_2 + [\omega(f_1) \wedge \phi_2] + [\phi_1 \wedge \omega(f_2)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2)] \right)$$

is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} ([\phi_1 \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}(\eta_2)] + [\partial(\phi_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\eta_2)]) + (\eta_1 * f_2 - f_2 * \eta_1) + \\ & \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} ([\partial\bar{\partial}(\eta_1) \wedge \phi_2] + [\partial(\eta_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2)]) - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} ([\partial(\eta_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\eta_2)] + [\eta_1 \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}(\eta_2)]), \end{aligned}$$

which is elements of $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$ by (i) of Lemma 1.1 and [4, Corollary 2.2.9]. Thus, we get (1).

(2) It is well known that $f_1 * f_2 = f_2 * f_1$ modulo $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$ (cf. [4, Corollary 2.2.9]). Moreover, by (ii) of Lemma 1.1, $[\partial(\phi_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2)] = [\partial(\phi_2) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_1)]$ modulo $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$. Thus, we have (2). $\square$

2.2. Birational Green function. Let X be a complex manifold, and D a divisor on X . Let $\mathbf{Br}(X)$ be the class introduced in §1. A $\mathbf{Br}(X)$ -Green function for a divisor D is specially called a *birational Green function* for D . It is easy to see that g is a birational Green function for D if and only if there are a proper bimeromorphic morphism $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ of complex manifolds, a divisor D' on Y , and a Green function g' for D' such that $\mu_*(D') = D$ and $\mu_*(g') = g$. A point at which $\omega(g)$ is not C^∞ is called a *singular point* of g . In this sense, the pair (D', g') is called a *resolution of singularities* of (D, g) by μ .

An idea of the birational Green functions arises from the following observation. Let (E, h) a Hermitian vector bundle on X , L a rank-1 saturated subsheaf of E , and s a rational section of L . If $\dim X \geq 2$, then $g = -\log h(s, s)$ is not necessarily a Green function for $D = \text{div}(s)$ because E/L is not locally free in general. However, we can see that g is a birational Green function for D . For, there are a proper bimeromorphic morphism $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ of complex manifolds, and a rank-1 saturated subsheaf L' of $\mu^*(E)$ such that $\mu^*(E)/L'$ is locally free and $\mu_*(L') = L$. Since L' is a sub-line bundle of $\mu^*(E)$, L' possesses a Hermitian metric h' induced from $\mu^*(h)$. Let s' be the rational section of L' corresponding with s . Then, by virtue of Poincaré-Lelong formula, $g' = -\log h'(s', s')$ is a Green function for $D' = \text{div}(s')$. Further, $D = \mu_*(D')$ and $g = \mu_*(g')$ by our construction.

By the above observation, we have the following definition. Let X be a complex manifold, and E a torsion free sheaf on X . We say h is a *birationally Hermitian metric* of E if there is a proper bimeromorphic morphism $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ of complex manifolds, a Hermitian vector bundle (E', h') on Y , and a Zariski open set U of X such that $\text{codim}(X \setminus U) \geq 2$, $\mu^{-1}(U) \simeq U$, (E, h) is a Hermitian vector bundle on U , and $(E', h')|_{\mu^{-1}(U)} \simeq (E, h)|_U$. We say (E', h') is a *resolution of singularities* of (E, h) by μ . Then, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2.1. *Let L be a line bundle on X and h a generalized metric of L over X . Let s be a non-zero rational section of L . Then, h is a birationally Hermitian metric if and only if $-\log h(s, s)$ is a birational Green function for $\text{div}(s)$.*

Proof. First, we assume that h is a birationally Hermitian metric. Let (L', h') be a resolution of singularities of (L, h) by $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$. Let U be a Zariski open set of X as the above. Here, we can find a rational section s' of L' corresponding with s via isomorphism $(L', h')|_{\mu^{-1}(U)} \simeq (L, h)|_U$. Then, $\mu_*(\text{div}(s')) = \text{div}(s)$, and $-\log h'(s', s')$ is a Green function

for $\text{div}(s')$. Thus, $-\log h(s, s)$ is a birational Green function for $\text{div}(s)$ because $-\log h(s, s) = \mu_*(-\log h'(s', s'))$.

Next, we assume that $g = -\log h(s, s)$ is a birational Green function for $D = \text{div}(s)$. Let (D', g') be a resolution of singularities of (D, g) by $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$. Let s' be a non-zero rational section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(D')$ with $D' = \text{div}(s')$. Let U be a non-empty Zariski open set of X with $\mu^{-1}(U) \simeq U$. Since $D'|_{\mu^{-1}(U)} = D|_U$, there is $u \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_U)^\times$ with $s' = us$. Thus, there is an isomorphism $\iota : \mathcal{O}_Y(D')|_{\mu^{-1}(U)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X(D)|_U$ with $\iota(s') = s$. Since g' is a Green function for D' , there is a C^∞ Hermitian metric h' of $\mathcal{O}_Y(D')$ with $g' = -\log h'(s', s')$. Then, we have $h'(s', s') = h(s, s)$ over $U = \mu^{-1}(U)$ because $g = \mu_*(g')$. Thus, ι gives rise to an isometry $(\mathcal{O}_Y(D'), h')|_{\mu^{-1}(U)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{O}_X(D), h)|_U$. $\square$

Corollary 2.2.2. *Let g be a birational Green function for a divisor D . Then, there is a birationally Hermitian metric h of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ such that $g = -\log h(s, s)$, where s is a non-zero rational section of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ with $\text{div}(s) = D$.*

Proof. Since g is a locally integrable function, there is a generalized metric h of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ with $g = -\log h(s, s)$. Hence, by Proposition 2.2.1, h must be a birationally Hermitian metric. $\square$

3. ARITHMETIC B -CYCLES AND THEIR PAIRING

3.1. Arithmetic B -cycles. Let X be an arithmetic variety, i.e., a quasi-projective integral scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$ with the smooth generic fiber over $\mathbb{Z}$. For each p , let $\widehat{R}^p(X)$ be the subgroup of $\widehat{Z}^p(X)$ generated by the following elements:

- (a) $((f), -[\log |f|^2])$, where f is a rational function on some subvariety Y of codimension $p-1$ and $[\log |f|^2]$ is the current defined by

$$[\log |f|^2](\gamma) = \int_{Y(\mathbb{C})} (\log |f|^2) \gamma.$$

- (b) $(0, \partial(\alpha) + \bar{\partial}(\beta))$, where $\alpha \in D^{p-2, p-1}(X(\mathbb{C}))$, $\beta \in D^{p-1, p-2}(X(\mathbb{C}))$.

A pair (Z, g) is called an *arithmetic D -cycle on X of codimension p* if Z is a cycle of codimension p on X and $g \in D^{p-1, p-1}(X(\mathbb{C}))$. We denote the set of all arithmetic D -cycles of codimension p on X by $\widehat{Z}_D^p(X)$. Moreover, $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^p(X)$ is defined by $\widehat{Z}_D^p(X)/\widehat{R}^p(X)$.

Let B be a class of degeneration of Green currents for codimension p cycles on $X(\mathbb{C})$. A pair (Z, g) is called an *arithmetic B -cycle of codimension p on X* if $Z \in Z^p(X)$ and g is a B -Green current for $Z(\mathbb{C})$ on $X(\mathbb{C})$. We denote the set of all arithmetic B -cycles of codimension p on X by $\widehat{Z}_B^p(X)$, and define

$$\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^p(X) = \widehat{Z}_B^p(X)/\widehat{R}^p(X).$$

If $B = L_{k, \text{loc}}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then $\widehat{Z}_B^p(X)$ and $\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^p(X)$ are denoted by $\widehat{Z}_{L_k^r}^p(X)$ and $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{L_k^r}^p(X)$ respectively. Note that for $x \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_B^p(X)$, there are $x_0 \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^p(X)$ and $\phi \in B$ with $x = x_0 + a(\phi)$.

From now, we assume that X is regular. We would like to construct a pairing

$$(3.1.1) \quad \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_1^r}^p(X) \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_1^{r'}}^q(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_D^{p+q}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where $1/r + 1/r' = 1$. Roughly speaking, for $(Z_1, g_1) \in \widehat{Z}_{L_1^r}^p(X)$ and $(Z_2, g_2) \in \widehat{Z}_{L_1^{r'}}^q(X)$, $(Z_1, g_1) \cdot (Z_2, g_2)$ is defined by $(Z_1 \cdot Z_2, g_1 * g_2)$ if Z_1 and Z_2 intersect properly.

To define it exactly, for $x \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_1^r}^p(X)$ and $y \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_1^{r'}}^q(X)$, we choose $x_0 \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)$, $y_0 \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^q(Y)$, $\phi \in L_{k,loc}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{p-1,p-1}, \mathbb{R})$, and $\psi \in L_{k,loc}^{r'}(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{q-1,q-1}, \mathbb{R})$ with $x = x_0 + a(\phi)$ and $y = y_0 + a(\psi)$. Then, we define $x \cdot y$ to be

$$x \cdot y = x_0 \cdot y_0 + a \left([\omega(x_0) \wedge \psi] + [\phi \wedge \omega(y_0)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] \right),$$

where $x_0 \cdot y_0$ is the usual arithmetic intersection. In the same way as in the proof of Proposition 2.1.1, we can see that the above definition does not depend on the choices of x_0 , y_0 , ϕ and ψ . Moreover, the pairing is commutative by virtue of (ii) of Lemma 1.1.

Here we recall the scalar product

$$(3.1.2) \quad \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X) \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_D^q(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_D^{p+q}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

introduced in [10, §2.3]. Let $x \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)$ and $y \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_D^q(X)$. We choose $y_0 \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^q(X)$ and $T \in D^{p-1,p-1}(X(\mathbb{C}))$ with $y = y_0 + a(T)$. Then, $x \cdot y$ is defined by

$$x \cdot y = x \cdot y_0 + a(\omega(x) \wedge T).$$

If $y \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_k}^q(X)$, then T can be represented by $\phi \in L_{k,loc}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{q-1,q-1}, \mathbb{R})$. Thus, $\omega(x) \wedge T \in L_{k,loc}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{p+q-1,p+q-1}, \mathbb{R})$. This observation shows us that (3.1.2) induces

$$(3.1.3) \quad \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X) \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_k^r}^q(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_k^r}^{p+q}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

Then, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1.4. *Let r and r' be real numbers with $1 \leq r, r' < \infty$ and $1/r + 1/r' = 1$. For $x \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)$, $y \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_1^r}^q(X)$, and $z \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_1^{r'}}^s(X)$, $x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z$.*

Proof. We set $y = y_0 + a(\phi)$ and $z = z_0 + a(\psi)$, where $y_0 \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^q(X)$, $z_0 \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^s(Y)$, $\phi \in L_{k,loc}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{q-1,q-1}, \mathbb{R})$, and $\psi \in L_{k,loc}^{r'}(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{s-1,s-1}, \mathbb{R})$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot (y \cdot z) &= x \cdot \left(y_0 \cdot z_0 + a \left([\omega(y_0) \wedge \psi] + [\phi \wedge \omega(z_0)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] \right) \right) \\ &= x \cdot (y_0 \cdot z_0) + a \left(\omega(x) \wedge \left([\omega(y_0) \wedge \psi] + [\phi \wedge \omega(z_0)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (x \cdot y) \cdot z &= (x \cdot y_0 + a(\omega(x) \wedge \phi)) \cdot z \\ &= (x \cdot y_0) \cdot z_0 + \\ &\quad a \left([\omega(x \cdot y_0) \wedge \psi] + [\omega(x) \wedge \phi \wedge \omega(z_0)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\omega(x) \wedge \phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\omega(x \cdot y_0) = \omega(x) \wedge \omega(y_0)$ and $\partial(\omega(x) \wedge \phi) = \omega(x) \wedge \partial(\phi)$. Thus, we have our proposition. $\square$

3.2. Intersection on singular varieties. Let X be an arithmetic variety. Let $\overline{E} = (E, h)$ be a Hermitian vector bundle on X . In [5, Theorem 4], the operator

$$(3.2.1) \quad \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) : \widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is defined. Here we would like to extend the above operator in two ways.

The first one is

$$(3.2.2) \quad \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) : \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

This is defined by

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot (x_0 + a(T)) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot x_0 + a(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \wedge T),$$

where $x_0 \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X)$ and $T \in \bigoplus_{p \geq 1} D^{p-1, p-1}(X(\mathbb{C}))$.

To give the second one, let us fix an element ϕ of $\bigoplus_{p \geq 1} L_{1, \text{loc}}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$. We define

$$(3.2.3) \quad \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) + a(\phi) : \widehat{\text{CH}}_{L_1^{r'}}^*(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

as follows, where $1/r + 1/r' = 1$. Let $x \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_{L_1^{r'}}^*(X)$. We choose $x_0 \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X)$ and $\psi \in \bigoplus_{p \geq 1} L_{1, \text{loc}}^{r'}(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$ with $x = x_0 + a(\psi)$. Then,

$$(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) + a(\phi)) \cdot x = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot x_0 + a \left([\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \wedge \psi] + [\phi \wedge \omega(x_0)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] \right).$$

In the same way as in Proposition 3.1.4, using [5, 4 of Theorem 4], we have the following.

Proposition 3.2.4. *Let $\overline{E}$ and $\overline{F}$ be Hermitian vector bundles on X . Then, we have the following.*

- (1) $\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot (\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{F}) \cdot x) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}) \cdot x$ for $x \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X)$.
- (2) Let r and r' be real numbers with $1 \leq r, r' < \infty$ and $1/r + 1/r' = 1$. Then, for any $\phi \in \bigoplus_{p \geq 1} L_{1, \text{loc}}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_{L_1^{r'}}^*(X)$,

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot ((\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{F}) + a(\phi)) \cdot x) = (\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}) + a(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \wedge \phi)) \cdot x.$$

3.3. Arithmetic B -Cartier divisor. Let X be an arithmetic variety. Let Rat_X be the sheaf of rational functions on X . We denote $H^0(X, \text{Rat}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$ by $\text{Div}(X)$. An element of $\text{Div}(X)$ is called a *Cartier divisor on X* . For a Cartier divisor D on X , we can assign the divisor $[D] \in Z^1(X)$ in the natural way. This gives rise to a homomorphism

$$c_X : \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow Z^1(X).$$

Note that c_X is neither injective nor surjective in general. The exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow \text{Rat}_X^\times \rightarrow \text{Rat}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow 1$$

induces to a homomorphism $\text{Div}(X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$. For a Cartier divisor D on X , the image of D by the above homomorphism induces a line bundle on X . We denote this line bundle by $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$. An *arithmetic Cartier divisor on X* is a pair (D, g) such that $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ and g is a Green function for $D(\mathbb{C})$ on $X(\mathbb{C})$. The set of all arithmetic Cartier divisors on X is denoted by $\widehat{\text{Div}}(X)$, and $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$ is defined by $\widehat{\text{Div}}(X) / \widehat{c}_X^{-1}(\widehat{R}^1(X))$, where $\widehat{c}_X$ is a natural homomorphism $\widehat{\text{Div}}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{Z}^1(X)$.

Let us fix a class B of degeneration of Green functions on $X(\mathbb{C})$, namely, an abelian group with $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq B \subseteq L_{1, \text{loc}}^r(X, \mathbb{R})$. A pair (D, g) is called an *arithmetic B -Cartier divisor on X* if $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ and g is a B -Green function for $D(\mathbb{C})$ on $X(\mathbb{C})$. We denote the set of all arithmetic B -Cartier divisors on X by $\widehat{\text{Div}}_B(X)$, and define

$$\widehat{\text{Pic}}_B(X) = \widehat{\text{Div}}_B(X) / \widehat{c}_X^{-1}(\widehat{R}^1(X)).$$

Note that if X is regular, then $\widehat{\text{Div}}_B(X) = \widehat{Z}_B^1(X)$ and $\widehat{\text{Pic}}_B(X) = \widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X)$.

If $B = L_{k, \text{loc}}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$, then $\widehat{\text{Div}}_B(X)$ and $\widehat{\text{Pic}}_B(X)$ are denoted by $\widehat{\text{Div}}_{L_k^r}(X)$ and $\widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_k^r}(X)$. Moreover, If $B = \mathbf{Br}(X(\mathbb{C}))$, then $\widehat{Z}_B^1(X)$, $\widehat{\text{Div}}_B(X)$, $\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X)$, and $\widehat{\text{Pic}}_B(X)$ are denoted by $\widehat{Z}_{\mathbf{Br}}^1(X)$, $\widehat{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{Br}}(X)$, $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbf{Br}}^1(X)$, and $\widehat{\text{Pic}}_{\mathbf{Br}}(X)$. An element of $\widehat{Z}_{\mathbf{Br}}^1(X)$ (resp. $\widehat{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{Br}}(X)$) is called a *birational arithmetic divisor* (resp. a *birational arithmetic Cartier divisor*).

We can easily see that (3.2.2) and (3.2.3) induce

$$(3.3.1) \quad \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) \otimes \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^p(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^{p+1}(X).$$

and

$$(3.3.2) \quad \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^r}(X) \otimes \widehat{\text{CH}}_{L_1^{r'}}^p(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^{p+1}(X)$$

respectively, where $1/r + 1/r' = 1$. Note that if D and Z intersect properly, then (3.3.2) is given by $(D, g_D) \cdot (Z, g_Z) = (D \cdot Z, g_D * g_Z)$, where $D \cdot Z$ is defined as follows. Let s be a rational section of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ with $\text{div}(s) = D$, and $Z = a_1 Z_1 + \cdots + a_n Z_n$ the irreducible decomposition as cycles. Then, $s|_{Z_i}$ gives rise to a rational section of $\mathcal{O}_{Z_i}(D)$, so that we define $D \cdot Z$ to be

$$a_1 \text{div}(s|_{Z_1}) + \cdots + a_n \text{div}(s|_{Z_n}).$$

In particular, if $B \subseteq L_{1, \text{loc}}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$, then we have a commutative pairing:

$$(3.3.3) \quad \widehat{\text{Pic}}_B(X) \otimes \widehat{\text{Pic}}_B(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^2(X).$$

Remark 3.3.4. Let $x_0 \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$, $y_0 \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$, $\phi \in \mathbf{Br}(X(\mathbb{C}))$, and $\psi \in \mathbf{Br}(X(\mathbb{C}))$. Then,

$$(x_0 + a(\phi)) \cdot (y_0 + a(\psi)) = x_0 \cdot y_0 + a(\omega(x_0)\psi + \phi\omega(y_0) + dd^c(\phi)\psi).$$

Indeed, by Proposition 1.2 and (ii) of Lemma 1.1, we have

$$[\partial\bar{\partial}(\phi)\psi] = -[\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] = -[\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)]$$

modulo $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$.

4. HODGE INDEX THEOREM

Let K be a number field, and O_K the ring of integers of K . Let $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(O_K)$ be a projective arithmetic variety with the geometrically irreducible generic fiber. Let $\overline{H} = (H, k)$ be an arithmetically ample Hermitian line bundle on X , i.e., (1) H is f -ample, (2) the Chern form $c_1(H, k)$ is positive definite on the infinite fiber $X(\mathbb{C})$, and (3) there is a positive integer m_0 such that, for any integer $m \geq m_0$, $H^0(X, H^m)$ is generated by the set $\{s \in H^0(X, H^m) \mid \|s\|_{\text{sup}} < 1\}$. Let us consider the pairing

$$(\cdot)_{\overline{H}} : \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

given by $(x \cdot y)_{\overline{H}} = \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot (x \cdot y))$, where $d = \dim X_K$. Further, we have the homomorphism

$$\deg_K : \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

given by $\deg_K((D, g)) = (D_K \cdot c_1(H_K)^{d-1})$.

Theorem 4.1. *If $x \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\deg_K(x) = 0$, then $(x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} \leq 0$. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if there is $y \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\text{Spec}(O_K))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $x = f^*(y)$ in $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. Clearly, we may assume that $x \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^2}(X)$. There is $x_0 \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$ such that $z(x) = z(x_0)$ and $\omega(x_0)$ is harmonic with respect to $c_1(H, k)$. Consequently, $\omega(x_0) \wedge c_1(H, k)^{d-1} = 0$ because $\deg_K(x) = 0$. Then, we can find $\phi \in L_{1, \text{loc}}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ with $x = x_0 + a(\phi)$. Thus, since $x_0 \cdot a(\phi) = a(\phi\omega(x_0))$ and $a(\phi) \cdot a(\phi) = a\left(\frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi)\right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} &= (x_0 \cdot x_0)_{\overline{H}} + 2(x_0 \cdot a(\phi))_{\overline{H}} + (a(\phi) \cdot a(\phi))_{\overline{H}} \\ &= (x_0 \cdot x_0)_{\overline{H}} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi} \int_{X(\mathbb{C})} \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi) \wedge c_1(H, k)^{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (ii) of Lemma 1.1,

$$\sqrt{-1} \int_{X(\mathbb{C})} \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi) \wedge c_1(H, k)^{d-1} \geq 0.$$

Thus, $(x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} \leq (x_0 \cdot x_0)_{\overline{H}}$. Moreover, by [15, Theorem 1.1], $(x_0 \cdot x_0)_{\overline{H}} \leq 0$. Therefore, we get the first assertion.

Next we assume that the equality holds. Then,

$$\sqrt{-1} \int_{X(\mathbb{C})} \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi) \wedge c_1(H, k)^{d-1} = 0.$$

Thus, by the equality condition of (ii) of Lemma 1.1, there is a function c on $X(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\phi = c$ (a. e.) and c is constant on each connected component of $X(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore,

$$x = x_0 + a(c) \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X).$$

Hence, by [15, Theorem 1.1], then there are $(D, g) \in \widehat{\text{Div}}(X)$ and a positive integer n such that $nx \sim (D, g)$, D is vertical with respect to $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(O_K)$ and g is constant on each connected component of $X(\mathbb{C})$. Then,

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot (D, g)^2) = \sum_{P \in \text{Spec}(O_K) \setminus \{0\}} \deg(H_P^{d-1} \cdot D_P^2).$$

Thus, by Zariski's lemma for integral scheme (cf. Lemma B.1), there is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor T on $\text{Spec}(O_K)$ with $f^*(T) = D$ in $Z^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $K(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of all embeddings of K into $\mathbb{C}$, and, for each $\sigma \in K(\mathbb{C})$, let $X_{\sigma} = X \otimes_K^{\sigma} \mathbb{C}$ be the base extension in terms of σ . Then, $X(\mathbb{C}) = \coprod_{\sigma} X_{\sigma}$ is nothing more than the decomposition into connected component. Let g_{σ} be the value of g on X_{σ} . Then, $(D, g) = f^*(T, \{g_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma})$ in $\widehat{Z}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus, if we set $y = (1/n)(T, \{g_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma})$, then $x = f^*(y)$ in $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Finally, we assume that there is $y \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\text{Spec}(O_K))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $x = f^*(y)$ in $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then, since $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}^2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ passes through $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}^2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and the pairing

$$\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\text{Spec}(O_K))_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is symmetric, we can see

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot x^2) &= \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot (x \cdot f^*(y))) \\ &= \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot (f^*(y) \cdot x)) \\ &= \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot (f^*(y) \cdot f^*(y))) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get all assertions of Theorem 4.1. $\square$

Corollary 4.2. *Let $h \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $\deg_K(h) > 0$ and $(h \cdot h)_{\overline{H}} > 0$. If $x \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $(h \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} = 0$, then $(x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} \leq 0$. Moreover, if $(x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} = 0$ and $f_*(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot (h \cdot x)) = 0$, then $x = 0$ in $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. Let us choose a rational number t with $\deg_K(x + th) = 0$. Then, by Theorem 4.1,

$$0 \geq (x + th \cdot x + th)_{\overline{H}} = (x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} + t^2(h \cdot h)_{\overline{H}}.$$

Thus, $(x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} \leq 0$.

Next we assume that $(x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} = 0$ and $f_*(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot (h \cdot x)) = 0$. Then, in the above inequality, we can see that $t = 0$. Thus, $\deg_K(x) = 0$ and $(x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} = 0$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.1, there is $y \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\text{Spec}(O_K))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $x = f^*(y)$ in $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then, by virtue of Proposition A.1,

$$f_*(f^*(y) \cdot (\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^d \cdot h)) = y \cdot f_*(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^d \cdot h) = \deg_K(h)y.$$

Thus, using (1) of Proposition 3.2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_K(h)y &= f_* (f^*(y) \cdot (\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^d \cdot h)) = f_* (\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^d \cdot (f^*(y) \cdot h)) \\ &= f_* (\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^d \cdot (h \cdot f^*(y))) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $y = 0$. Hence, $x = 0$ in $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$. $\square$

Further, we can give a generalization of [15, Theorem A].

Corollary 4.3. *Let us consider a homomorphism*

$$L : \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^p(X)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^{p+1}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$$

given by $L(x) = \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H}) \cdot x$. By abuse of notation, the composition of homomorphisms

$$\widehat{\text{Pic}}_D(X)_\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^1(X)_\mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{L^{d-1}} \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^d(X)_\mathbb{Q}$$

is also denoted by L^{d-1} . Then, we have the following.

- (1) $\text{Ker} \left(L^{d-1} : \widehat{\text{Pic}}_D(X)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^d(X)_\mathbb{Q} \right) = \text{Ker} \left(\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_\mathbb{Q} \right)$. *In particular, if X is regular, then $L^{d-1} : \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^1(X)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^d(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ is injective.*
- (2) *If $x \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L^2_1}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$, $x \neq 0$ in $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{L^2_1}^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$, and $L^d(x) = 0$, then $\widehat{\deg}(L^{d-1}(x) \cdot x) < 0$.*

Proof. First, let us see (2). By virtue of (2) of Proposition 3.2.4,

$$L^{d-1}(x) \cdot x = (\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot x) \cdot x = \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot x^2.$$

Thus, applying Corollary 4.2 to the case where $h = \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})$, we have (2).

Next, let us see (1). It is sufficient to show that if $x \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_D(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ and $L^{d-1}(x) = 0$, then $x \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ and $x = 0$ in $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$. Let us choose $x_0 \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ such that $z(x) = z(x_0)$ and $\omega(x_0)$ is harmonic with respect to $c_1(\overline{H})$. Then, there is a distribution T on $X(\mathbb{C})$ with $x = x_0 + a(T)$. Here, $z(x) \cdot c_1(H)^{d-1} = z(L^{d-1}(x)) = 0$. Thus, $\omega(x_0) \wedge c_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot x_0^2 &= \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot x_0^2 + a(\omega(x_0) \wedge c_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} T) \\ &= \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot x_0 \cdot x = x_0 \cdot L^{d-1}(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by virtue of Theorem 4.1, there is $y \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\text{Spec}(O_K))_\mathbb{Q}$ with $x_0 = f^*(y)$ in $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$. In particular, $\omega(x_0) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \wedge dd^c(T) &= \omega(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1}) \wedge \omega(x_0) + c_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \wedge dd^c(T) \\ &= \omega(L^{d-1}(x_0) + a(c_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} T)) = \omega(L^{d-1}(x)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\Delta(T) = 0$, where Δ is the Laplacian with respect to $c_1(\overline{H})$. Hence, using the regularity of solutions of the elliptic operator Δ , T is represented by the C^∞ -function c which is constant on each connected component of $X(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, $x \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$. Thus, by virtue of (2), we have $x = 0$ in $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$. $\square$

5. COMPARISON OF INTERSECTION NUMBERS VIA BIRATIONAL MORPHISM

Let $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be a birational morphism of projective arithmetic varieties with $d = \dim X_{\mathbb{Q}} = \dim Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We assume that X is normal. We set

$$\mathrm{Pic}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{x \in \mathrm{Pic}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \mu_*(x) \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}\}$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{x \in \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid z(x) \in \mathrm{Pic}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}\},$$

where $z : \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the homomorphism forgetting Green functions. Then, the push-forward μ_* induces the homomorphism

$$\mu_* : \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mathbf{Br}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Let us fix an arithmetically ample Hermitian line bundle $\overline{H} = (H, k)$ on X . Here we define the symmetric bi-linear map

$$\Delta_{\mu} : \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

to be

$$\Delta_{\mu}(x, y) = \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}))^{d-1} \cdot x \cdot y) - \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \mu_*(x) \cdot \mu_*(y)).$$

In this section, we will investigate basic properties of Δ_{μ} (cf. Proposition 5.1 and 5.2) and give its application (cf. Corollary 5.5).

Here let us introduce the homomorphism $\delta_{\mu} : \mathrm{Pic}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ given by

$$\delta_{\mu}(x) = x - \mu^*(\mu_*(x)).$$

By abuse of notation, the composition

$$\delta_{\mu} \cdot z : \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{z} \mathrm{Pic}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mu}} \mathrm{Pic}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is also denoted by δ_{μ} . First, let us consider the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *If $\delta_{\mu}(x) = \delta_{\mu}(x')$ and $\delta_{\mu}(y) = \delta_{\mu}(y')$ for $x, x', y, y' \in \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $\Delta_{\mu}(x, y) = \Delta_{\mu}(x', y')$.*

Proof. First, let us see that if $z(x) = z(x')$ and $z(y) = z(y')$ for $x, x', y, y' \in \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $\Delta_{\mu}(x, y) = \Delta_{\mu}(x', y')$. For this purpose, it is sufficient to see that $\Delta_{\mu}(x, a(\phi)) = 0$ for all C^{∞} -functions ϕ on $Y(\mathbb{C})$. First of all,

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}))^{d-1} \cdot x \cdot a(\phi)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Y \phi \omega(x) \wedge \mu^*(\Omega^{d-1}).$$

On the other hand, we set $x = (D, g)$. Let g' be a Green function for $\mu_*(D)$. If we set $\psi = \mu_*(g) - g'$, then $\psi \in \mathbf{Br}(X(\mathbb{C}))$. By the definition of the star product and Remark 3.3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_*(D), \mu_*(g)) \cdot (0, \mu_*(\phi)) &= (0, \omega(g')\mu_*(\phi) + dd^c(\psi)\mu_*(\phi)) \\ &= (0, \omega(\mu_*(g))\mu_*(\phi)) = (0, \mu_*(\omega(g)\phi)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \mu_*(x) \cdot \mu_*(a(\phi))) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Y \phi \omega(x) \wedge \mu^*(\Omega^{d-1}).$$

Hence, $\Delta_\mu(x, a(\phi)) = 0$.

Let us pick up $x, y \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_\mu(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$. In order to see that $\Delta_\mu(x, y)$ depends only on $\delta_\mu(x)$ and $\delta_\mu(y)$, by replacing x and y by nx and ny for some positive integer n , we may assume that $x, y \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_\mu(Y)$ and $\mu_*(x), \mu_*(y) \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{\mathbf{Br}}(X)$. Let (L, h_L) and (Q, h_Q) be Hermitian line bundles on Y with $\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) = x$ and $\widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q) = y$, and let L' and Q' be line bundles on X with $c_1(L') = \mu_*(z(x))$ and $c_1(Q') = \mu_*(z(y))$. Here we can find Cartier divisors Σ_1 and Σ_2 on Y such that $\mu^*(L') \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_1) = L$, $\mu^*(Q') \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_2) = Q$, and $\mu_*(\Sigma_1) = \mu_*(\Sigma_2) = 0$ in $\text{Div}(X)$. Then, $\delta_\mu(x) = \Sigma_1$ and $\delta_\mu(y) = \Sigma_2$. Let $h_{L'}$ and $h_{Q'}$ be C^∞ -Hermitian metrics of L' and Q' respectively. Then, there are C^∞ -Hermitian metrics e_1 and e_2 of $\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_2)$ respectively such that $\mu^*(L', h_{L'}) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_1), e_1) = (L, h_L)$ and $\mu^*(Q', h_{Q'}) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_2), e_2) = (Q, h_Q)$. Therefore, since

$$\begin{cases} \mu_*(x) = \widehat{c}_1(L', h_{L'}) + \mu_*(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_1), e_1)) \\ \mu_*(y) = \widehat{c}_1(Q', h_{Q'}) + \mu_*(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_2), e_2)), \end{cases}$$

using projection formula (cf. Proposition A.1), we can easily see that

$$\Delta_\mu(x, y) = \Delta_\mu(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_1), e_1), \widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_2), e_2)).$$

Thus, combining the first assertion, we have our proposition. $\square$

Before starting the next property, we would like to fix a terminology. Let L be a line bundle on Y , and let Γ be a prime divisor on Y with $\mu_*(\Gamma) = 0$. We define $\deg_\mu(L|_\Gamma)$ to be

$$\deg_\mu(L|_\Gamma) = \begin{cases} \text{the degree of } L \text{ on the generic fiber of } \Gamma \rightarrow \mu(\Gamma) & \text{if } \dim \Gamma - \dim \mu(\Gamma) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $D_1, \dots, D_n$ be effective Cartier divisors on Y with the following properties.

- (1) $\mu_*(D_i) = 0$ for all i .
- (2) D_i and D_j have no common component for all $i \neq j$.
- (3) There are positive integers $a_1, \dots, a_n$ such that if we set $D = -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i$, then $\deg_\mu(\mathcal{O}_Y(-D)|_\Gamma) \geq 0$ for all prime divisors Γ in $\text{Supp}(D)$.

Note that if $-D$ is μ -nef, then (3) is satisfied.

Here we define the subspace V of $\widehat{\text{Div}}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ to be

$$V = \left\{ (D, g) \in \widehat{\text{Div}}(X)_\mathbb{Q} \mid D = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i D_i \text{ for some } x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

Then, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. $\Delta_\mu(x, x') \leq 0$ for any $x, x' \in V$ with $z(x) = z(x')$. In particular, Δ_μ is negative semi-definite on V .

Proof. First of all, note that

$$(5.2.1) \quad \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \mu_*(D, g) \cdot \mu_*(D', g')) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Y g' \omega(D, g) \mu^*(\Omega^{d-1})$$

because $\mu_*(D, g) = (0, \mu_*(g))$, $\mu_*(D', g') = (0, \mu_*(g'))$ and Remark 3.3.4. Further, in order to prove our proposition, we may assume $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1$ by replacing D_i by $a_i D_i$.

Let us choose a Green function g_i for each D_i . We set $e_i = (D_i, g_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $V' = \mathbb{Q}e_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Q}e_n$. By virtue of Proposition 5.1, if $\Delta_\mu(x, x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in V'$, then the assertion of our proposition holds. Here let us consider the following claim, which is the crucial part of the proof of our proposition.

Claim 5.2.2. (i) $\Delta_\mu(e_i, e_j) \geq 0$ for all $i \neq j$.
(ii) $\Delta_\mu(e, e_j) \leq 0$ for all j , where $e = e_1 + \dots + e_n$.

To prove the above claim, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\bar{L}$ be a Hermitian line bundle on Y , and Γ a prime divisor on Y with $\mu_*(\Gamma) = 0$. Let $\nu : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a birational morphism of projective integral schemes. We assume that if Γ is horizontal with respect to $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, then ν is a generic resolution of singularities of Γ ; otherwise, $\nu = \text{id}_\Gamma$. If $\deg_\mu(L|_\Gamma) \geq 0$, then*

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\nu^*(\bar{L})) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\nu^*\mu^*(\bar{H}))^{d-1}) \geq 0.$$

(For the definition of generic resolution of singularities, see Appendix A.)

Proof. We set $\Sigma = f(\Gamma)$. Let $\pi : \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a proper birational morphism of projective integral schemes. We assume that if Σ is horizontal, then π is a generic resolution of singularities of Σ ; otherwise, $\pi = \text{id}_\Sigma$. Changing a model of Γ' , if necessarily, we may assume that there is a morphism $f : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Sigma'$ with $\pi \circ f = \mu \circ \nu$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma' & \xrightarrow{\nu} & Y \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ \Sigma' & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \end{array}$$

Thus, using projection formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\nu^*(\bar{L})) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\nu^*\mu^*(\bar{H}))^{d-1}) &= \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\nu^*(\bar{L})) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(f^*\pi^*(\bar{H}))^{d-1}) \\ &= \widehat{\deg}(f_*(\widehat{c}_1(\nu^*(\bar{L}))) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\pi^*(\bar{H}))^{d-1}) \\ &= \deg_\mu(L|_\Gamma) \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\pi^*(\bar{H}))^{d-1}) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let us go back to the proof of Claim 5.2.2. Let $D_j = b_1\Gamma_1 + \dots + b_s\Gamma_s$ be the irreducible decomposition as cycles. For each k , let $\nu_k : \Gamma'_k \rightarrow \Gamma_k$ be a proper birational morphism of projective integral schemes. We assume that if Γ_k is horizontal with respect to $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, then ν_k is a generic resolution of singularities of Γ_k . Then, by Lemma A.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*\bar{H})^{d-1} \cdot (D_i, g_i) \cdot (D_j, g_j)) &= \\ \sum_{k=1}^s b_k \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\nu_k^*\mu^*\bar{H})^{d-1} \cdot \nu_k^*(D_i, g_i)) &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{Y(\mathbb{C})} g_j \omega(g_i) \mu^*(\Omega^{d-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega = c_1(H, k)$. Thus, combining the above with (5.2.1), we can see that

$$\Delta_\mu(e_i, e_j) = \sum_{k=1}^s b_k \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\nu_k^*\mu^*\bar{H})^{d-1} \cdot \nu_k^*(D_i, g_i)).$$

Here, since Γ_k is not a component of D_i , $\deg_\mu(\mathcal{O}_Y(D_i)|_{\Gamma_k}) \geq 0$ for every k . Therefore, by Lemma 5.3, we get $\Delta_\mu(e_i, e_j) \geq 0$.

Let h be a Hermitian metric of $\mathcal{O}_Y(D)$ with $e = \widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(D), h)$. In the same way as above, by using Lemma A.3, we can see that

$$\Delta_\mu(e, e_j) = \sum_{k=1}^s b_k \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\nu_k^* \mu^* \overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\nu_k^*(\mathcal{O}_Y(D), h))) .$$

Here, by our assumption, $\deg_\mu(\mathcal{O}_Y(-D)|_{\Gamma_k}) \geq 0$ for all k . Thus, by Lemma 5.3, $\Delta_\mu(e, e_j) \leq 0$.

Finally, let us see that $\Delta_\mu(x, x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in V'$. We set $x = x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_n e_n$. It is easy to see that

$$\Delta_\mu(x, x) = \sum_i x_i^2 \Delta_\mu(e_i, e) - \sum_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)^2 \Delta_\mu(e_i, e_j) .$$

Thus, Claim 5.2.2 implies $\Delta_\mu(x, x) \leq 0$. $\square$

Corollary 5.4. *We assume that X and Y are $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial, i.e., the natural homomorphisms $\text{Div}(X)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow Z^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ and $\text{Div}(Y)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow Z^1(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$ are isomorphisms. Then, $\Delta_\mu(x, y) \leq 0$ for any $x, y \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$ with $\delta_\mu(x) = \delta_\mu(y)$. In particular, Δ_μ is negative semi-definite on $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$ be all prime divisors on Y contracted by μ . By virtue of Proposition 5.1 and 5.2, it is sufficient to show that there are positive rational numbers $a_1, \dots, a_n$ such that $-\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \Gamma_i$ is μ -ample.

Let A be an ample line bundle on Y . Then, there are a positive integer m and a section s of $H^0(Y, A^{\otimes m})$ such that Γ_i is not a component of $\text{div}(s)$ for every i . We set $D = \mu^*(\mu_*(\text{div}(s)) - \text{div}(s))$. (Note that $\mu_*(\text{div}(s)) \in \text{Div}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$.) Then, by our choice of s , D is effective, $-D$ is μ -ample, and D is contracted by μ . Thus, there are non-negative rational numbers $a_1, \dots, a_n$ with $D = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \Gamma_i$. Here we suppose $a_i = 0$ for some i . Let F be the generic fiber of $\Gamma_i \rightarrow \mu(\Gamma_i)$. Then, $\dim F \geq 1$, $D|_F$ is effective, and $-D|_F$ is ample. This is a contradiction. Thus, $a_i > 0$ for all i . $\square$

Let M be a d -dimensional smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field, E a vector bundle of rank 2 on M , and H an ample line bundle on M . It is well known that if L is a rank 1 saturated subsheaf of E , then

$$\deg(c_2(E) \cdot c_1(H)^{d-2}) \geq \deg(c_1(L) \cdot c_1(E/L) \cdot c_1(H)^{d-2}) .$$

This is very useful formula to estimate the degree of $c_2(E)$. The following is an arithmetic analogue of the above formula.

Corollary 5.5. *Let X be a regular projective arithmetic variety with $d = \dim X_\mathbb{Q}$, (E, h) a Hermitian vector bundle of rank 2 on X , and $\overline{H} = (H, k)$ an arithmetically ample Hermitian line bundle on X . Let L be a saturated subsheaf of E with $\text{rk } L = 1$, and let $Q = (E/L)^{**}$. Let h_L and h_Q be metrics of L and Q induced by h . Then, h_L and h_Q are birationally Hermitian metrics, and*

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(E, h)) \geq \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q)) .$$

Proof. First of all, there is an ideal sheaf I on X such that the image of $E \rightarrow Q$ is $Q \otimes I$. Then, $\text{codim Spec}(\mathcal{O}_X/I) \geq 2$. Let $p_I : X_I \rightarrow X$ be the blowing-up by the ideal sheaf I , and $\nu : Y \rightarrow X_I$ a generic resolution of singularities of X_I . Then, by our construction, there is an effective Cartier divisor Σ on Y with $I\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma)$. Then, $\mu^*(E) \rightarrow \mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma)$ is surjective and its kernel is $\mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma)$, where $\mu = p_I \cdot \nu : Y \rightarrow X$. Thus, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mu^*(E) \rightarrow \mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let h'_L and h'_Q be Hermitian metrics of $\mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma)$ and $\mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma)$ induced by $\mu^*(h)$ of $\mu^*(E)$. Let $\overline{A} = (A, e)$ be an arithmetically ample Hermitian line bundle on Y . Then, by [12, Proposition 7.3], for all $n > 0$,

$$\widehat{\deg} \left(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}^{\otimes n}) \otimes \overline{A})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(\mu^*(E), \mu^*(h)) \right) \geq \widehat{\deg} \left(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}^{\otimes n}) \otimes \overline{A})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma), h'_L) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma), h'_Q) \right).$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ of the above inequality, we have

$$\widehat{\deg} \left(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}))^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(\mu^*(E), \mu^*(h)) \right) \geq \widehat{\deg} \left(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}))^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma), h'_L) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma), h'_Q) \right).$$

Here, by the projection formula (cf. Proposition A.1),

$$\widehat{\deg} \left(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}))^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(\mu^*(E), \mu^*(h)) \right) = \widehat{\deg} \left(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(E, h) \right).$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show that

$$\widehat{\deg} \left(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}))^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma), h'_L) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma), h'_Q) \right) \geq \widehat{\deg} \left(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q) \right).$$

Namely,

$$\Delta_\mu(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma), h'_L), \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma), h'_Q)) \geq 0.$$

Let e be a Hermitian metric of $\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma)$. Then, by Proposition 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\mu(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma), h'_L), \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma), h'_Q)) = \\ - \Delta_\mu(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma), e), \widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma), e)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Proposition 5.2, it suffices to show that $-\Sigma$ is μ -nef. This is obvious because $I\mathcal{O}_{X_I}$ is μ_I -ample and $\mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma) = \nu^*(I\mathcal{O}_{X_I})$. $\square$

6. BOGOMOLOV'S INSTABILITY FOR RANK 2 BUNDLES

Let K be a number field, and $\mathcal{O}_K$ the ring of integers of K . Let $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ be a regular projective arithmetic variety with the geometrically irreducible generic fiber and $d = \dim X_K$. Let $\overline{H} = (H, k)$ be an arithmetically ample Hermitian line bundle on X . Let

$$(\cdot)_{\overline{H}} : \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\text{Br}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\text{Br}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \deg_K : \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\text{Br}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

be homomorphisms given in §4. Here, we set

$$\widehat{C}_{++}(X; \overline{H}) = \{x \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbf{Br}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid (x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} > 0 \text{ and } \deg_K(x) > 0\}$$

and

$$\widehat{C}_+(X; \overline{H}) = \{x \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbf{Br}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid (x \cdot y)_{\overline{H}} > 0 \text{ for all } y \in \widehat{C}_{++}(X; \overline{H})\}.$$

By virtue of the Hodge index theorem (cf. Theorem 4.1), we have the following in the same way as [13, §1].

Proposition 6.1. $\widehat{C}_{++}(X; \overline{H}) \subset \widehat{C}_+(X; \overline{H})$.

Let $\overline{E} = (E, h)$ be a Hermitian vector bundle of rank 2 on X . Let L be a saturated subsheaf of E with $\mathrm{rk} L = 1$. Since X is regular and L is reflexive, L is an invertible sheaf. Let h_L be the metric induced by h of E . Then, h_L is a birationally Hermitian metric. In this notation, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *If $\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot (4\widehat{c}_2(\overline{E}) - \widehat{c}_1(\overline{E})^2)) < 0$, there is a saturated rank 1 subsheaf L of E with*

$$2\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) - \widehat{c}_1(E, h) \in \widehat{C}_{++}(X; \overline{H}).$$

Proof. First of all, by virtue of [14], $E_{\overline{K}}$ is not μ -semistable with respect to $H_{\overline{K}}$. Thus, there is the destabilizing subsheaf L' of $E_{\overline{K}}$. Using the uniqueness of L' , in the same way as [13, Claim 3.2], we can see that L' is defined over K . Hence, we can find a saturated subsheaf L of E with $L_K = L'$. Let $Q = (E/L)^{**}$, and let h_L and h_Q be birationally Hermitian metrics of L and Q induced by h . Then, by Corollary 5.5,

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(E, h)) \geq \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q)).$$

Therefore, since $\widehat{c}_1(E, h) = \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) + \widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q)$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((2\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) - \widehat{c}_1(E, h))^2)_{\overline{H}} &= (\widehat{c}_1(E, h)^2)_{\overline{H}} - 4(\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q))_{\overline{H}} \\ &\geq \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot (\widehat{c}_1(\overline{E})^2 - 4\widehat{c}_2(\overline{E}))) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get our theorem. $\square$

Let us fix $\lambda \in \widehat{C}_+(X; \overline{H})$. Let $\overline{E} = (E, h)$ be a Hermitian vector bundle on X of rank 2. $\overline{E}$ is said to be *arithmetically semistable with respect to λ* if, for any saturated rank 1 subsheaves L of E ,

$$(\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) \cdot \lambda)_{\overline{H}} \leq \frac{(\widehat{c}_1(E, h) \cdot \lambda)_{\overline{H}}}{2}.$$

With notation as above, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.3. *If $\overline{E}$ is arithmetically semistable with respect to λ , then,*

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot (4\widehat{c}_2(\overline{E}) - \widehat{c}_1(\overline{E})^2)) \geq 0.$$

Proof. If $\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot (4\widehat{c}_2(\overline{E}) - \widehat{c}_1(\overline{E})^2)) < 0$, then, by Theorem 6.2, there is a saturated subsheaf L of E with

$$2\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) - \widehat{c}_1(E, h) \in \widehat{C}_{++}(X; \overline{H}).$$

Thus,

$$(2\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) - \widehat{c}_1(E, h) \cdot \lambda)_{\overline{H}} > 0.$$

which contradicts to the semistability of $\overline{E}$. $\square$

Appendix A. PROJECTION FORMULA FOR THE ARITHMETIC CHERN CHARACTER

In this section, we will show the following projection formula in a general context.

Proposition A.1. *Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of arithmetic varieties, $\overline{E} = (E, h)$ a Hermitian vector bundle on Y , and z an arithmetic D -cycle on X . Then,*

$$f_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(f^*\overline{E}) \cdot z) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot f_*z.$$

Proof. For the proof of the projection formula above, we need the following two lemmas. The proof of these lemmas can be found in [10, Proposition 2.4.1 and Proposition 2.4.2]. Here we fix notation. Let Z be a quasi-projective integral scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$. Then, by virtue of Hironaka's resolution of singularities [7], there is a proper birational morphism $\mu : Z' \rightarrow Z$ of quasi-projective integral schemes over $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $Z'_\mathbb{Q}$ is non-singular. The above $\mu : Z' \rightarrow Z$ is called a *generic resolution of singularities* of Z .

Lemma A.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of arithmetic varieties. Let (L, h) be a Hermitian line bundle on Y , and $z \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^p(X)$. Then*

$$f_*(\widehat{c}_1(f^*L, f^*h) \cdot z) = \widehat{c}_1(L, h) \cdot f_*(z).$$

Lemma A.3. *Let X be an arithmetic variety, and $\overline{L}_1 = (L_1, h_1), \dots, \overline{L}_n = (L_n, h_n)$ be Hermitian line bundles on X . Let (Z, g) be an arithmetic D -cycle on X , and $Z = a_1Z_1 + \dots + a_rZ_r$ the irreducible decomposition as cycles. For each i , let $\tau_i : Z'_i \rightarrow Z_i$ be a proper birational morphism of quasi-projective integral schemes. We assume that if Z_i is horizontal with respect to $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, then τ_i is a generic resolution of singularities of Z_i . Then, we have*

$$\widehat{c}_1(\overline{L}_1) \cdots \widehat{c}_1(\overline{L}_n) \cdot (Z, g) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \mu_{i*} (\widehat{c}_1(\mu_i^*\overline{L}_1) \cdots \widehat{c}_1(\mu_i^*\overline{L}_n)) + a(c_1(\overline{L}_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge c_1(\overline{L}_n) \wedge g)$$

in $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X)_\mathbb{Q}$, where μ_i is the composition of $Z'_i \xrightarrow{\tau_i} Z_i \hookrightarrow X$ for each i .

Let us start the proof of Proposition A.1. We will prove this proposition by induction on $r = \text{rk } E$. If $r = 1$, then

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}(f^*\overline{E}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \widehat{c}_1(f^*\overline{E})^n \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \widehat{c}_1(\overline{E})^n.$$

Thus, our proposition is a consequence of Lemma A.2. Thus, we may assume that $r > 1$. Moreover, if $z = (0, T)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(f^*\overline{E}) \cdot z) &= f_*(0, \text{ch}(f^*\overline{E}) \wedge T) = (0, f_*(f^* \text{ch}(\overline{E}) \wedge T)) \\ &= (0, \text{ch}(\overline{E}) \wedge f_*T) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot f_*z. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we may further assume that z is an usual arithmetic cycle, i.e., $z \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^p(X)$ for some p .

Let $\pi : P = \text{Proj}(\oplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Sym}^n(E)) \rightarrow Y$ and $\nu : Q = \text{Proj}(\oplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Sym}^n(f^*E)) \rightarrow X$ be the projective bundles of E and f^*E , and let $\mathcal{O}_P(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_Q(1)$ be the tautological line bundles of P and Q respectively. We set the induced morphisms as following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{\nu} & Q \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ Y & \xleftarrow{\pi} & P \end{array}$$

We give $\mathcal{O}_Q(1)$ the Hermitian metric induced from $\nu^*f^*\overline{E}$. Since $\nu : Q \rightarrow X$ is smooth, we can consider the pull-back $\nu^*(z)$ of z . Here we claim the following.

Claim A.3.2. $\nu_*(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_Q(1)})^{r-1} \cdot \nu^*(z)) = z$.

Proof. Let (Z, g) be a representative of z . Clearly, we may assume that Z is integral. If Z is vertical, then our assertion is trivial. So we may assume that Z is horizontal. Let $\mu : Z' \rightarrow Z$ be a generic resolution of singularities of Z . Let $\nu' : T = \text{Proj}(\oplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Sym}^n(\mu^*f^*(E))) \rightarrow Z'$ be the projective bundle of $\mu^*f^*(E)$, and $\mathcal{O}_T(1)$ the tautological line bundle on T . We set the induced morphism as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & Q \\ \nu' \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \end{array}$$

Then, μ' gives rise to a generic resolution of singularities of $\nu^*(Z)$. Thus, by virtue of Lemma A.3,

$$\widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_Q(1)})^{r-1} \cdot \nu^*(z) = \mu'_*(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_T(1)})^{r-1}) + a(c_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_Q(1)})^{r-1} \wedge \nu^*(g)).$$

Here since

$$\nu_*\mu'_*(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_T(1)})^{r-1}) = \mu_*\nu'_*(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_T(1)})^{r-1}) = \mu_*([Z']) = (Z, 0)$$

and $\nu_*(c_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_Q(1)})^{r-1} \wedge \nu^*(g)) = g$, we can see that

$$\nu_*(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_Q(1)})^{r-1} \cdot \nu^*(z)) = (Z, 0) + a(g) = (Z, g).$$

Hence, we get our claim. $\square$

Let us go back to the proof of Proposition A.1. We set $\beta = \widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_Q(1)})^{r-1} \cdot \nu^*(z)$. Then, by the above claim, $\nu_*(\beta) = z$. Thus, since ν is smooth, using [5, 6 of Theorem 4],

$$f_*\nu_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\nu^*f^*\overline{E}) \cdot \beta) = f_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(f^*\overline{E}) \cdot z).$$

On the other hand, let F_P be the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\pi^*(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_P(1)$. We give F_P and $\mathcal{O}_P(1)$ the metrics induced from the metric of $\pi^*\overline{E}$, so that we get an exact sequence of Hermitian vector bundles:

$$\mathcal{E}_Q : 0 \rightarrow \overline{F_P} \rightarrow \pi^*\overline{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}_P(1)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then,

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}(\pi^*\overline{E}) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{F_P}) + \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_P(1)}) - a(\widetilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{E}_P)),$$

which implies

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}(\nu^*f^*\overline{E}) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(g^*\pi^*\overline{E}) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(g^*\overline{F_P}) + \widehat{\text{ch}}(g^*\overline{\mathcal{O}_P(1)}) - a(g^*\widetilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{E}_P)).$$

Thus, since the rank of F_P is less than r and π is smooth, using hypothesis of induction and [5, 6 of Theorem 4], we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_*\nu_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\nu^*f^*\overline{E}) \cdot \beta) &= \pi_*g_*\left((\widehat{\text{ch}}(g^*\overline{F_P}) + \widehat{\text{ch}}(g^*\overline{\mathcal{O}_P(1)}) - a(g^*\widetilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{E}_P))) \cdot \beta\right) \\ &= \pi_*\left((\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{F_P}) + \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_P(1)}) - a(\widetilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{E}_P))) \cdot g_*\beta\right) \\ &= \pi_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\pi^*\overline{E}) \cdot g_*\beta) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot \pi_*g_*\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$f_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(f^*\overline{E}) \cdot z) = f_*\nu_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\nu^*f^*\overline{E}) \cdot \beta) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot f_*z$$

because $\pi_*g_*\beta = f_*\nu_*\beta = f_*z$. □

Appendix B. ZARISKI'S LEMMA FOR INTEGRAL SCHEME

Let R be a discrete valuation ring, and $f : Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ a flat and projective integral scheme over R . Let η be the generic point of $\text{Spec}(R)$ and o the special point of $\text{Spec}(R)$. We assume that the generic fiber Y_η of f is geometrically reduced and irreducible. Let Y_o be the special fiber of f , i.e., $Y_o = f^*(o)$. Let us consider a pairing

$$\text{Pic}(Y) \otimes \text{CH}^p(Y_o) \rightarrow \text{CH}^{p+1}(Y_o)$$

given by the composition of homomorphisms

$$\text{Pic}(Y) \otimes \text{CH}^p(Y_o) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y_o) \otimes \text{CH}^p(Y_o) \rightarrow \text{CH}^{p+1}(Y_o).$$

We denote by $x \cdot z$ the image of $x \otimes z$ by the above homomorphism. Let D be a Cartier divisor on Y , and Z a cycle of codimension p on Y_o , i.e., $Z \in Z^p(Y_o)$. We assume that D and Z intersect properly. Let s be a rational section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(D)$ with $\text{div}(s) = D$, and let $Z = a_1Z_1 + \cdots + a_rZ_r$ be the irreducible decomposition as cycles. Then, since $s|_{Z_i}$ is a rational section of $\mathcal{O}_{Z_i}(D)$, we define $D \sqcap Z \in Z^{p+1}(Y_o)$ to be

$$D \sqcap Z = a_1 \text{div}(s|_{Z_1}) + \cdots + a_r \text{div}(s|_{Z_r}).$$

Then, the class of $D \sqcap Z$ is equal to $\mathcal{O}_Y(D) \cdot (\text{the class of } Z)$. Moreover, for a Cartier divisor D on Y , the associated cycle of D is denoted by $[D]$, which is an element of $Z^1(Y)$. Let us consider the following subgroup $F_c(Y)$ of $Z^0(Y_o)$:

$$F_c(Y) = \{x \in Z^0(Y_o) \mid x = [D] \text{ for some Cartier divisor } D \text{ on } Y\}.$$

For a Cartier divisor D on Y with $[D] \in F_c(Y)$, and $y \in F_c(Y)$, $D \cdot y$ depend only on $[D]$. For, if D' is a Cartier divisor on Y with $[D'] = [D]$, and E is a Cartier divisor on Y with $y = [E]$, then, by [3, Theorem 2.4],

$$D \cdot y = E \cdot [D] = E \cdot [D'] = D' \cdot y.$$

Thus, we can define a bi-linear map

$$q : F_c(Y) \times F_c(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^1(Y_o)$$

by $q([D], y) = D \cdot y$. Moreover, [3, Theorem 2.4] says us that q is symmetric, i.e., $q(x, y) = q(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in F_c(Y)$. Let H be an ample line bundle on Y . Using q and H , we have a quadratic form Q_H on $F_c(Y)$ given by

$$Q_H(x, y) = \deg(H^{d-1} \cdot q(x, y)),$$

where $d = \dim Y_\eta$. Then, we have the following Zariski's lemma on integral schemes, which is well known if X is regular.

Lemma B.1 (Zariski's lemma for integral scheme). (1) $Q_H([Y_o], x) = 0$ for all $x \in F_c(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$.
 (2) $Q_H(x, x) \leq 0$ for any $x \in F_c(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$.
 (3) $Q_H(x, x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot [Y_o]$.

Proof. (1): This is obvious because $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y_o) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y$.

(2) and (3): If $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot [Y_o]$, then by (1), $Q_H(x, x) = 0$. Thus, it is sufficient to prove that (a) $Q_H(x, x) \leq 0$ for any $x \in F_c(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$, and that (b) if $Q_H(x, x) = 0$, then $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot [Y_o]$. For this purpose, we may assume that $x \in F_c(Y)$, i.e., $x = [D]$ for some Cartier divisor D on Y . We prove (a) and (b) by induction on d . If $d = 1$ and Y is regular, the lemma follows from the following sublemma.

Sublemma B.1.1. *Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$, and Q a quadratic form on V . We assume that there are $e \in V$ and a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ with the following properties:*

- (i) *If we set $e = a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n$, then $a_i > 0$ for all i .*
- (ii) *$Q(x, e) \leq 0$ for all $x \in V$.*
- (iii) *$Q(e_i, e_j) \geq 0$ for all $i \neq j$.*
- (iv) *If we set $S = \{(i, j) \mid i \neq j \text{ and } Q(e_i, e_j) > 0\}$, then, for any $i \neq j$, there is a sequence $i_1, \dots, i_l$ such that $i_1 = i$, $i_l = j$, and $(i_t, i_{t+1}) \in S$ for all $1 \leq t < l$.*

Then, $Q(x, x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in V$. Moreover, if $Q(x, x) = 0$ for some $x \neq 0$, then $x \in \mathbb{R}e$ and $Q(y, e) = 0$ for all $y \in V$.

Proof. Replacing e_i by $a_i e_i$, we may assume that $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1$. If we set $x = x_1 e_1 + \dots + x_n e_n$, then, by an easy calculation, we can show

$$Q(x, x) = \sum_i x_i^2 Q(e_i, e) - \sum_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)^2 Q(e_i, e_j).$$

Thus, we can easily see our assertions. □

Let us go back to the proof of Lemma B.1. Let $\mu : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a proper birational morphism of projective integral schemes over R . We assume that if $d \geq 2$, then μ is finite. Here we

claim that if (a) and (b) hold for Y' , then they hold for Y . Note that if $d = 1$, then the lemma does not involve H . By virtue of projection formula (cf. [3, (c) of Proposition 2.4]),

$$\deg(\mu^*(H)^{d-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(\mu^*(D)) \cdot [\mu^*(D)]) = \deg(H^{d-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(D) \cdot [D]).$$

Thus, if $Q_H([\mu^*(D)], [\mu^*(H)]) \leq 0$, then $Q_H([D], [D]) \leq 0$. Moreover, if there is a rational number α such that $[\mu^*(D)] = \alpha[Y'_o]$, then $[\mu^*(D)] = \alpha[\mu^*(Y_o)]$. Thus, taking the push-forward μ_* , we can see that $[D] = \alpha[Y_o]$ in $Z^1(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Hence, we get our claim.

By the above claim, considering the normalization of Y , we may assume that Y is normal. Moreover, if $d = 1$, there is a resolution of singularities $\mu : Y' \rightarrow Y$ of Y (cf. [11]). Thus it holds for $d = 1$. Hence we may assume $d \geq 2$.

Let $(Y_o)_{red} = \Gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_l$ be the irreducible decomposition of $(Y_o)_{red}$ and I the defining ideal of $(Y_o)_{red}$. Since H is ample, there is a positive integer m such that $H^{\otimes m}$ is very ample and $H^1(Y, H^{\otimes m} \otimes I) = 0$. Thus, $H^0(Y, H^{\otimes m}) \rightarrow H^0((Y_o)_{red}, H^{\otimes m}|_{(Y_o)_{red}})$ is surjective. Here note that $\text{codim}(Y \setminus \text{Sing}(Y)) \geq 2$ because Y is normal. Hence, there is a section s_0 of $H^0(Y, H^{\otimes m})$ such that $s_0|_{\Gamma_i} \neq 0$ for every i , and that $\text{div}(s_0|_{(Y_o)_{red}})$ intersects with $(\Gamma_i \cap \text{Sing}(Y))_{red}$ and $(\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j)_{red}$ properly for all $i \neq j$. Let t be a element of R such that t is a generator of the maximal ideal of R . Since $H^0(Y, H^{\otimes m})$ is a free R -module, there is a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of $H^0(Y, H^{\otimes m})$ as R -module. Then, there are $c_1, \dots, c_n \in R$ with $s_0 = c_1 e_1 + \cdots + c_n e_n$. For each $a_1, \dots, a_r$ of R , let us consider the following element s of $H^0(Y, H^{\otimes m})$;

$$s = s_0 + t(a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_n e_n) = (c_1 + ta_1)e_1 + \cdots + (c_n + ta_n)e_n.$$

Since $\#(R) = \infty$, it is easy to see that the set

$$\{(c_1 + ta_1, \dots, c_n + ta_n) \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in R\}$$

is Zariski dense in $\mathbb{A}^n(K)$, where K is the quotient field of R . Thus, we can find $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ such that $\text{div}(s_\eta)$ is geometrically reduced and irreducible divisor on X_η (cf. [9, Theorem 6.10]). Let $[\text{div}(s)] = S + T$ be the decomposition as cycles such that S is horizontal and T is vertical with respect to f . Then, by our choice of s , $s|_{\Gamma_i} = s_0|_{\Gamma_i}$ for all i . Thus, $T = 0$ and S is integral. Hence, by the proof of [3, Theorem 2.4], we can see that $\text{div}(s) \cap [D] = D \cap S$ in $Z^2(Y)$. Therefore, if we set $H_S = H|_S$ and $D_S = D|_S$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(H_S^{d-2} \cdot \mathcal{O}_S(D_S) \cdot [D_S]) &= \deg(H^{d-2} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(D) \cdot (D \cap S)) \\ &= \deg(H^{d-2} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(D) \cdot (\text{div}(s) \cap [D])) \\ &= \deg(H^{d-2} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(D) \cdot H^{\otimes m} \cdot [D]) \\ &= m \deg(H^{d-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(D) \cdot [D]). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by hypothesis of induction, $Q_H([D], [D]) \leq 0$.

Further, we assume that $Q_H([D], [D]) = 0$. Then, $\deg(H_S^{d-2} \cdot \mathcal{O}_S(D_S) \cdot [D_S]) = 0$. Thus, by hypothesis of induction, there is a rational number α with $D \cap S = \alpha(Y_o \cap S)$ in $Z^2(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We set $[D] = \sum_i \alpha_i \Gamma_i$ and $[Y_o] = \sum_i \beta_i \Gamma_i$ as cycles. Moreover, we set

$$Y^0 = Y \setminus \left(\text{Sing}(Y) \cup \bigcup_{i \neq j} (\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j) \right),$$

$D^0 = D \cap Y^0$, $Y_o^0 = Y_o \cap Y^0$, $S^0 = S \cap Y^0$, and $\Gamma_i^0 = \Gamma_i \cap Y^0$ for each i . Then,

$$D^0 \cap S^0 = \sum_i \alpha_i (\Gamma_i^0 \cap S^0) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_o^0 \cap S^0 = \sum_i \beta_i (\Gamma_i^0 \cap S^0)$$

in $Z^2(Y^0)$. Thus,

$$\sum_i \alpha_i (\Gamma_i^0 \cap S^0) = \sum_i \alpha \beta_i (\Gamma_i^0 \cap S^0)$$

in $Z^2(Y^0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Here $H^{\otimes m}$ is very ample and $\text{div}(s_0|_{(Y_o)_{red}})$ intersects with $(\Gamma_i \cap \text{Sing}(Y))_{red}$ and $(\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j)_{red}$ properly for all $i \neq j$. Therefore, $\Gamma_i^0 \cap S^0 \neq 0$ for all i , and $\Gamma_i^0 \cap S^0$ and $\Gamma_j^0 \cap S^0$ have no common component for all $i \neq j$. Thus, we have $\alpha_i = \alpha \beta_i$ for all i . Hence $[D] = \alpha[Y_o]$ in $Z^1(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-B. Bost, Potential Theory and Lefschetz Theorems for Arithmetic Surfaces, preprint.
- [2] G. Faltings, Calculus on arithmetic surfaces, Ann. of Math., 119 (1984), 387–424.
- [3] W. Fulton, Intersection Theory, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Band 2, (1984), Springer-Verlag.
- [4] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, Arithmetic Intersection Theory, Publ. Math. (IHES), 72 (1990), 93–174.
- [5] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, An arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem, Invent. Math., 110 (1992), 473–543.
- [6] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, Arithmetic analogs of the standard conjectures, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, 55-I (1994), 129–140.
- [7] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, Ann. of Math. 79 (1964), 109–326.
- [8] P. Hriljac, Heights and Arakelov’s intersection theory, Amer. J. Math., 107 (1985), 23–38.
- [9] J.-P. Jouanolou, Théorèmes de Bertini et Applications, Birkhäuser (1983).
- [10] S. Kawaguchi and A. Moriwaki, Inequalities for semistable families of arithmetic varieties, preprint (alg-geom/9710007).
- [11] J. Lipman, Desingularization of two dimensional schemes, Ann. Math., 107 (1978), 151–207.
- [12] A. Moriwaki, Inequality of Bogomolov-Gieseker type on arithmetic surfaces, Duke Math. J., 74 (1994), 713–761.
- [13] A. Moriwaki, Bogomolov unstability on arithmetic surfaces, Math. Research Letters, 1 (1994), 601–611.
- [14] A. Moriwaki, Arithmetic Bogomolov-Gieseker’s inequality, Amer. J. of Math., 117 (1995), 1325–1347.
- [15] A. Moriwaki, Hodge index theorem for arithmetic cycles of codimension one, Mathematical Research Letter, 3 (1996), 173–183.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-01, JAPAN
E-mail address, Atsushi Moriwaki: moriwaki@kusm.kyoto-u.ac.jp